UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

TYRAN PINKINS,			
Plaintiff,			
v. Case No.: 19-cv	y-368		
FORMER RACINE POLICE OFFICER JOSHUA DIEDERICH, and RACINE POLICE OFFICER TODD J. LAUER,			
Defendants.			
VERDICT FORM			
We, the jury, find as following as to the claim of Plaintiff Tyran Pinkins against former Officer Diederch			
 Has Plaintiff Tyran Pinkins met his burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that former Officer Diederch used unreasonable excessive force against him on October 6, 2016? 			
Yes No			
If you answered 'No' to question 1, do not answer any more questions. If you answered 'Yes' to question 1, continue to question 2.			
2. Is former Officer Diederich entitled to Qualified Immunity as to Plaintiff Tyran Pinkins' excessive force claim?			
Yes No			
If you answered 'Yes' to question 2, do not answer any additional questions. If you answered 'No' to question 2, you must continue to question 3.			
Compensatory Damages			
3. How much money do you award to fairly and reasonably compensate Tyran Pin excessive force used against him by former Officer Diederich?	nkins for the		

Punitive Damages

If you awarded Tyran Pinkins compensatory damages, you must answer the following question:

conduct malicious or in reckless disreg	ard of Pinkins' rights?
Yes	No
	nue to question 5. If you answered 'No' to question 4, d notify the bailiff that you have reached a verdict. Do
5. What amount of punitive damages, if a and others from engaging in similar co	any will punish former Officer Diederich and deter him nduct in the future?
	\$
Dated this day of April 2023	
Foreperson	
Date:	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

TYRA	AN PINKINS,	
	Plaintiff,	
v.		Case No.: 19-cv-368
	MER RACINE POLICE OFFICER J NE POLICE OFFICER TODD J. L.	
	Defendants.	
	VE	RDICT FORM
	r Lauer	o the claim of Plaintiff Tyran Pinkins against former burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence,
1.		e excessive force against him on October 29, 2017?
	Yes	No
	answered 'No' to question 1, do not a on 1, continue to question 2.	answer any more questions. If you answered 'Yes' to
2.	Is Officer Lauer entitled to Qualifie claim?	d Immunity as to Plaintiff Tyran Pinkins' excessive force
	Yes	No
	answered 'Yes' to question 2, do not estion 2, you must continue to question	t answer any additional questions. If you answered 'No' on 3.
	C	ompensatory Damages
3.	How much money do you award to excessive force used against him by	fairly and reasonably compensate Tyran Pinkins for the Officer Lauer?
		•

Punitive Damages

If you awarded Tyran Pinkins compensatory damages, you must answer the following question:

4. If you found that Officer Lauer used malicious or in reckless disregard of	excessive force on Tyran Pinkins, was his conduct Pinkins' rights?
Yes	No
	e to question 5. If you answered 'No' to question 4 have otify the bailiff that you have reached a verdict. Do not
5. What amount of punitive damages, if and others from engaging in similar controls.	f any will punish former Officer Lauer and deter him conduct in the future?
	\$
Dated this day of April 2023	
Foreperson	
Date:	